Opportunistic Screening for Glaucoma Amongst Glaucoma Patients' First Degree Relatives who Accompany them to Glaucoma Clinic

Nathaniel G.I and Awoyesuku E.A

Department of Ophthalmology, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

Corresponding author: Nathaniel G.I, Email: drnathy2013@gmail.com

Introduction: Screening for glaucoma is an important tool for reducing incidence of blindness and visual impairment. Detection of the condition in its earlier stages by effective screening should result in reduced morbidity and improved quality of life1. The risk ratio of developing primary open angle glaucoma in persons with positive family history has been estimated to be 9.2 and increased prevalence of glaucoma has been found in persons whose first-degree relatives suffer primary open angle glaucoma<sup>2,3</sup>. This study aims at identifying people with glaucoma and glaucoma suspects among first degree relatives who accompanied known glaucoma patients to the Glaucoma clinic at University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt.

**Methods:** It was a prospective clinic-based study of first-degree relatives of Primary open-angle glaucoma patients. All participating individuals underwent comprehensive eye examination including vision screening, refraction, slit lamp biomicroscopy, applanation tonometry, gonioscopy and dilated fundus examination. Persons with definite or suspected glaucoma underwent threshold standard automated perimetry. A diagnosis of primary open angle glaucoma was based on the following criteria9:

- i. Open angle documented on gonioscopy
- ii. An optic nerve head cup/disc ratio >0.6 with typical features of notching of neuroretinal rim, excavation, thinning or sloping of neuroretinal rim in the superior or inferior sector of the optic nerve head in at least one eye.

Visual field defects matching of the nerve head changes on the visual field with pattern standard deviation abnormal at 5% level or less; with cluster of at least three abnormal points in the nasal, paracentral or arcuate region of the visual field with at least one point at <1%. Borderline or abnormal glaucoma hemifield test on automated perimetry alone without matching disc changes were not considered abnormal.

Results: A total of 95 individuals who were firstdegree relatives of 41 patients with Primary open angle glaucoma were examined. Fifty-seven of them (60%) were males, and 38 (40%) were females, with a mean age of 37.71± 8.15 years with age range of 14-56 years (30.0-42.5 CI) (Table 1). Eighty-three (87.37%) were offspring while 12 (12.63%) were siblings of glaucoma patients. Eighteen (18.9%) were observed to have definite Primary open angle glaucoma; 7 (7.4%) of them had been previously diagnosed. Another 16 (16.8%) of the relatives were found to be Glaucoma suspects. Twelve (66.7%) of those with glaucoma were males while 6 (33.3%) were females; 13 (72.2%) were offspring while 5 (27.8%) were siblings. Prevalence of primary open angle glaucoma was 13.7% for offspring and 5.3% for siblings.

Discussion: Previous studies4-7, have shown high prevalence of primary open angle glaucoma amongst first degree relatives of Primary open angle glaucoma patients compared to the general population as also shown in this study. In our study, the prevalence of glaucoma was higher amongst offspring (13.7%) compare to siblings (5.2%) as also reported by Vegini et al8. However, Wolfs et al<sup>2</sup> reported a prevalence of 10.4% amongst siblings of patients and only 1.1% of offspring of patients. Other studies.3,9 also reported higher prevalence amongst siblings than offspring. Family history of glaucoma in a sibling is the greatest risk factor, followed by glaucoma in a parent10. The Baltimore Eye Survey<sup>10</sup> found that the relative risk of having glaucoma is increased 3.7-fold for individual who have sibling with primary open angle

1

Table 1: Demographic data of respondents

Variable		Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age	10 - 19	04	2.21
	20 - 29	13	13.68
	30 - 39	43	45.26
	40 - 49	30	31.58
	50 - 59	05	5.26
Sex	Male	57	60.00
	Female	38	40.00
Education	al status		
	Primary	07	7.37
	Secondary	17	17.89
	Tertiary	71	74.74
Relationsh	ip to pati	ent	
	Offspring	83	`87.37
	Sibling	12	12.63
Previously	diagnosed with glaucoma:		
	Yes	07	7.37
	No	88	92.63

Table 2: Demographic data of those found with glaucoma and glaucoma suspect

Variab	ole	Total No.	Glaucoma	Glaucoma suspect
Age	10 - 19	4	0(0)	0(0)
	20 - 29	13	0(0)	O(O)
	30 - 39	43	5(27.8%)	5(31.25%)
	40 - 49	30	13(72.2%)	9(56.25%)
	50 - 59	5	0(0)	2(12.50%)
	Total	95	18(100%)	16(100%)
X2 (p-value)			7.11 (0.01)*	6.94(0.03)*
Gend	er			
	Male	57	12(66.7%)	7(43.75%)
	Female	38	6(33.3%)	9(56.25%)
	Total	95	18(100%)	16(100%)
X2 (p-value)		4.0 (0.05)*	0.50 (0.479)	
Educa	tional stat	us		
	Primary	7	5(27.8%)	1(6.25%)
	Secondary	17	5(27.8%)	2(12.50%)
	Tertiary	71	8(44.4%)	13(81.25%)
X2 (p-value)		1.50 (0.472)	24.94(0.001)*	
Relationship with patien		t		
	Offspring	83	13(72.2%)	16(100%)
	Sibling	12	5(27.8%)	O(O)
	Total	95	18(100%)	16(100%)
X2 (p-value)		7.11 (0.01)*	36.0 (0.001)*	
OR (p-value) 95%CI			3.85 (0.05)	1.15-6.13

glaucoma compared to individual who have parent with primary open angle glaucoma that have 2.2fold risk of developing glaucoma.

**Conclusion:** Prevalence of primary open angle glaucoma amongst first degree relatives of glaucoma patients is higher than the general population. Targeted screening of at-risk group will help in early detection and treatment.

## References

- Kyari F, Entekume G, Rabiu M, Spry P, Wormald R, Nolan W, et al. A populationbased survey of prevalence and types of glaucoma in Nigeria: results from the Nigeria National Blindness and Visual Impairment Survey. BMC Ophthalmol 2015; 15: 176.doi 10.1186/s12886-015-0160-6.
- Wolfs RC, Klaver CC, Ramrattan RS, van Duijn CM, Hofman A, de Jong PT. Genetic risk of primary open-angle glaucoma. Population-based familial aggregation study. Arch Ophthalmol 1998; 116: 1640-1645
  - 3. Nguyen RL, Raja SC, Traboulsi EI. Screening relatives of patients with familial chronic open-angle glaucoma. Ophthalmology 2000; 107: 1294-1297
  - Quigley HA, Broman AT. The number of people with glaucoma worldwide in 2010 and 2020. Br J Ophthalmol 2006; 90: 262-267
- De Voogd S, Ikram MK, Wolfs RC, Jansonius NM, Hofman A, de Jong PT. Incidence of open-angle glaucoma in a general elderly population: the Rotterdam Study. Ophthalmology 2005; 112: 1487-1493.
  - Friedman DS, Wolfs RC, O'Colman BJ, Klein BE, Taylor HR, West S et al. prevalence of open-angle glaucoma among adults in the United States. Arch Ophthalmol 2004; 122: 532-538.
- 7. Quigley HA, West SK, Rodriguez J, Munoz B, Klein R, Snyder R. The prevalence of glaucoma in a population-based study of Hispanic subjects: Proyecto VER. Arch Ophthalmol 2001; 119: 1819-1826.
- 8. Vegini F, Filho NF, Lenci RF, Neto DG, Junior SR. Prevalence of open angle in

- glaucoma accompanying first degree relatives of patients with glaucoma. Clinics 2008; 63: 329-332.
- Rajendrababu S, Gupta N, Vijayakumar B, Kumaragurupari R, Krishnadas SR. Screening First Degree Relatives of Persons with Primary Open Angle Glaucoma in India. J Curr Glaucoma Pract 2014; 8: 107-112.
- Tielsch JM, Sommer A, Katz J, Royall RM, Quigley HA, Javitt J. Racial variations in the prevalence of primary open-angle glaucoma. The Baltimore Eye Survey. JAMA 1991; 266: 369-374